



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,226	01/27/2006	Gilad Lavi	S2082/20003	3732
3000 7590 03/19/2009 CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOV, LTD. 11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212				
EXAMINER SCHELL, LAURA C				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3767				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/19/2009		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcp.com

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/566,226

**Applicant(s)**

LAVI ET AL.

**Examiner**

LAURA C. SCHELL

**Art Unit**

3767

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 December 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SG/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alchas et al. (US Patent No. 6,569,123). Alchas discloses an injection device comprising: a cartridge barrel (44), said barrel arranged to contain a stopper (28) and fluid therein and wherein said barrel has a second open end and a second end having a radial flange adjacent to the second end; a needle cannula having a sharp distal end and a second open end, the fluid being in communication with said needle second end; a housing surrounding the barrel (12), said housing having a distal open end adjacent the needle and a proximate end having a flange receiving the radial flange of the barrel (16 is a flange and it receives within that end the flange of the barrel. Please note that the claim language does not require that the flanges contact each other); a shield releasably retained by the housing (20), said housing and said shield arranged in a sliding relationship with the shield positioned primarily within the housing until release (Figs. 1-4); a driver (driver is combination of 26, 30 and 12 as they are all connected), said driver

positioned partially within said housing (portion 12 is partially within the housing), said driver equipped with at least one deformable side arm sensing the end of the barrel (deformable side arms 14 detect the end of the barrel by means of detecting the end of 42), said driver slidably located within said housing for moving the stopper forward (portion 12 is within the housing and is what moves the stopper forward); and a biasing spring (52), said biasing spring further adapted to bias the shield to automatically cover the needle after said driver detects the end of the barrel (Fig. 5). In reference to claims 13-17, see Figs. 1-5.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-11, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alchas et al. (US Patent No. 6,569,123). Alchas discloses an injection device comprising: a housing (19) having a proximate end and a distal end, the distal end having an opening therein; a cartridge barrel within the housing (22), the cartridge barrel having proximate and distal ends; a needle cannula fixed to the distal end of the cartridge barrel (34), or attachment means for fixing a needle cannula to the distal end; a stopper within the cartridge barrel (28); a driver coupled to the stopper (driver is combination of 26, 30 and 12 as they are all connected); a shield coupled to the housing and slidable between a retracted and an extended position (20); shield driver means activatable to urge the shield from the retracted position to the extended position (52); and sensor means forming a portion of said driver (sensor means are 14) in slidable contact with an exterior surface of the shield, the sensor means arranged to detect an end profile of the barrel and to automatically trigger activation of the shield driver means upon detection (deformable side arms 14 detect the end of the barrel by means of detecting the end of 42). Alchas, however, does not disclose that the sensor means are in slidable contact with an exterior surface of said cartridge barrel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Alchas by reversing the shield and the housing such that the sensor means were in sliding contact with the exterior of the cartridge barrel since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

In reference to claims 2-11 and 18, see Figs. 1-5 of Alchas.

***Terminal Disclaimer***

The terminal disclaimer filed on 12/24/2008 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 10/566333 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA C. SCHELL whose telephone number is (571)272-7881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Simons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Laura C Schell/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3767  
/Kevin C. Simmons/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767